# Math 239 Problem Set 11 Solution

## Doug Baldwin

## April 12, 2017

Here are my solutions to the questions in Problem Set 11.

**Problem 1.** (Find the Cartesian product  $\{-1,0,1\} \times \{a,b\}$ .)

The Cartesian product is the set of all ordered pairs that can be made from the two sets, so

 $\{-1, 0, 1\} \times \{a, b\} = \{(-1, a), (-1, b), (0, a), (0, b), (1, a), (1, b)\}$ 

### Problem 2.

**Proposition 1.** If A and B are nonempty sets, then  $A \times B = B \times A$  if and only if  $A = B$ .

*Proof.* We prove that if A and B are nonempty sets, then  $A \times B = B \times A$  if and only if  $A = B$  by proving each direction separately.

First, we show that if  $A \times B = B \times A$  then  $A = B$ . From the definition of Cartesian product, we know that for every x that is in  $A$  and every  $y$  in  $B$ ,  $(x, y)$  is in  $A \times B$ . Since  $A \times B = B \times A$ ,  $(x, y)$  must also be a member of  $B \times A$ , which means that  $x \in B$  and  $y \in A$ . Thus we see that every member x of A is in B, and every member y of B is in A, and so  $A = B$ .

For the second direction, we show that if  $A = B$ , then  $A \times B = B \times A$ . So assume  $A = B$ , and notice that substituting equals for equals then yields

$$
A \times B = A \times A
$$
  
=  $B \times A$ .

We have thus shown that if  $A = B$ , then  $A \times B = B \times A$ .

Since we have now established implications in both directions, we see that if A and B are nonempty sets, then  $A \times B = B \times A$  if and only if  $A = B$ .  $\Box$ 

#### Problem 3.

**Proposition 2.** If  $\Lambda$  is a nonempty indexing set and  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is an indexed family of sets, then

$$
\left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Lambda}A_{\alpha}\right)^{C}=\bigcap_{\alpha\in\Lambda}A_{\alpha}^{C}
$$

*Proof.* We prove that if  $\Lambda$  is a nonempty indexing set and  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is an indexed family of sets, then  $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_{\alpha})^C = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_{\alpha}^C$  by proving

$$
\left(\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Lambda}A_{\alpha}\right)^{C}\subseteq\bigcap_{\alpha\in\Lambda}A_{\alpha}^{C}\tag{1}
$$

and

$$
\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_{\alpha}^C \subseteq \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_{\alpha}\right)^C \tag{2}
$$

To prove relationship 1, let x be any member of  $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_{\alpha})^C$ . Thus x is not in  $\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Lambda}A_{\alpha}$ . To have  $x_{\alpha}$  not in  $\bigcup_{\alpha\in\Lambda}A_{\alpha}$  we must have  $x_{\alpha}\notin A_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha\in\Lambda$ , or, equivalently,  $x \in A_{\alpha}^C$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Now, if  $x \in A_{\alpha}^C$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  then  $x \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_{\alpha}^C$ , and so we have relationship 1.

To prove relationship 2, let x be any member of  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_{\alpha}^C$ . This means that x is a member of  $A_{\alpha}^C$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , i.e., x is not in  $A_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . But if x is not in  $A_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ , then x is also not in  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_{\alpha}$ , i.e., x is in  $(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_{\alpha})^C$ . Thus we have relationship 2.

Since we have both relationships 1 and 2, we can conclude that if  $\Lambda$  is a nonempty indexing set and  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_{\alpha} | \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is an indexed family of sets, then

$$
\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_{\alpha}\right)^{C} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} A_{\alpha}^{C}.
$$

**Problem 4.** (Given  $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ,  $B = \{a, b, c\}$ , and  $C = \{s, t, u, v\}$ , give arrow diagrams for functions as follows or explain why such functions cannot exist.)

A function  $f : A \to C$  whose range is C:

a  
b  
c  

$$
\rightarrow
$$
 t  
c  
 $\rightarrow$  u  
 $\rightarrow$  v

A function  $f : A \to C$  whose range is  $\{u, v\}$ :



A function  $f : B \to C$  whose range is C. This function can't exist because B is smaller than  $C$  — since each member of B can only map to one member of  $C$ , it's not possible to hit all the members of  $C$ .

A function  $f : A \to C$  with the property that for all x and y in A, if  $x \neq y$ then  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . The function shown at the beginning of this problem has this property.

A function  $f: A \to \{s, t, u\}$  with the property that for all x and y in A, if  $x \neq y$  then  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . This is not possible because  $\{s, t, u\}$  is smaller than  $A$  — anything that maps all members of  $A$ , as a function must, has to map at least 2 to the same member of  $\{s, t, u\}.$ 

**Problem 5.** Define  $g : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  by

$$
g(x) = (x, 2x). \tag{3}
$$

Then we have ...

**Proposition 3.** The function  $g$  defined by Equation 3 is a homomorphism.

*Proof.* To prove that g is a homomorphism, we must prove that for all x and y in Z,  $g(x + y) = g(x) + g(y)$ . So let x and y be integers. Then we see that

$$
g(x + y) = (x + y, 2(x + y))
$$
  
=  $(x + y, 2x + 2y)$   
=  $(x, 2x) + (y, 2y)$   
=  $g(x) + g(y)$ 

We have thus shown that  $g(x + y) = g(x) + g(y)$ , and therefore that g is a homomorphism.  $\Box$